

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER POR PATENTS PO Box (430) Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.orupo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/564,516	01/13/2006	Frans Nooren	069818-2900	3460
23428 7550 06/09/2008 FOLEY AND LARDNER LLP SUITE 500			EXAMINER	
			SZEKELY, PETER A	
3000 K STREI WASHINGTO			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	. ,		1796	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/564.516 NOOREN, FRANS Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit Peter Szekely 1796 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 January 2006. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-4 and 6-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-4.6.7 and 16-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 8-15 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/564,516 Page 2

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- Claims 3, 16 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 2. The "phosphites" is misspelled in all three claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be neadtived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- Claims1-4, 6, 7 and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Gelbin 6,569,927, in view of Hamada et al. 5,362,572.
- 5. Gelbin discloses a thermoplastic resin a hindered phenol, an aromatic amine and a lactone in claim 1, polyisobutylene in claim 9, hindered phenols in claims 23-26 and fillers column 15, lines 52-64. In the paragraph overlapping columns 2 and 3, Gelbin cites Kronke, C. "Proceedings from Polyolefins X International Conference; pp. 555-569 (1997)", which discloses, inter alia, an extremely active stabilizer for polyolefin processing based on a benzofuranones (lactone) derivative. The author states that, when used in combination with phenolic/phosphite antioxidant blends, new levels of performance are achieved. Thus the addition of phosphites to the composition of Gelbin is obvious. It is also obvious to select polyisobutylene from a list of equivalents.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/564,516

Art Unit: 1796

Since the criticality of the clamed Tg and surface tension is not elucidated in the specification, the examiner holds that these limitations are obvious also. Hamada et al. teach a laminate of polyolefin and polyisobutylene in claims 1-8, fillers in column 4, lines 29-34, phosphite stabilizers in column 6, line 41 and hindered phenols in the paragraph overlapping columns 6 and 7. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to use the composition of Gelbin for the polyisobutylene of Hamada et al. because of its excellent stability. Wrapping tape is the intended use and as such it has no patentable significance.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 6. Claims 8-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Peter Szekely whose telephone number is (571) 272-1124. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:10 a.m.-4:40 p.m. Tuesday-Friday.
- If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/564,516 Page 4

Art Unit: 1796

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Peter Szekely/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

/P. S./ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 6/4/08